Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise, Tourism and the Environment

to

Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee

on

7th March 2013

Agenda Item No.

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry
Team Leader Parking, Traffic Management and Road Safety

Objection to Traffic Regulation Orders - Various Areas Executive Councillor: Cllr Cox A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to consider details of the objections to the advertised Traffic Regulation Orders for proposed waiting restrictions at various sites and decide on the way forward.
- 2. Recommendation
- 2.1 That the Traffic and Parking Working Party consider the objections to the proposed Orders and recommend to the Cabinet Committee to:
 - (a) Implement the proposals without amendment or
 - (b) Implement the proposals with amendment or
 - (c) Take no further action
- 2.2 That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic and Parking Working Party, following consideration of the representations received and agree the appropriate course of action.

3. Background

- 3.1 The Cabinet Committee periodically agrees to advertise proposals to implement waiting restrictions in various areas as a result of requests from Councillors and members of the public based upon an assessment against the Council's current policies.
- 3.2 The proposals shown on the attached Appendix 1 were advertised through the local press and notices were displayed at appropriate site informing residents and businesses of the proposals and inviting them to make their representations for or against the proposals. This process has resulted in the objections detailed in Appendix 1 of this report. Officers have considered these objections and where possible tried to resolve these and provided observations to assist Members' in their considerations in making an informed decision.

Alternate Month Amendments

4. Reasons for Recommendations

4.1 All proposals were advertised with an aim to improve highway safety and to reduce congestion, which were the concerns and considerations leading to the proposals.

5. Corporate Implications

5.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities.

5.1.1 Ensuring parking is managed while maintaining adequate access for emergency vehicles and general traffic flow. This is consistent with the Council's Vision and Corporate Priorities.

5.2 Financial Implications

5.2.1 Costs for confirmation of the Order and amendments suggested in Appendix 1 can be met from existing budgets.

5.3 Legal Implications

5.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

5.4 People Implications

5.4.1 Work required implement any works will be met by existing staff resources.

5.5 Property Implications

5.5.1 None

5.6 Consultation

5.6.1 This report provides details of the outcome of the statutory consultation process.

5.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications

5.7.1 None.

5.8 Risk Assessment

5.8.1 The proposals are designed to improve highway safety and so have a positive risk assessment.

5.9 Value for Money

5.9.1 The proposals offer value for money and will be carried out by contractors procured to provide such.

5.10 Community Safety Implications

5.10.1 None.

5.11 Environmental Impact

5.11.1 Neutral.

Background Papers None 6.

6.1

7.

AppendicesAppendix 1 - Details of representations received and Officer observations.

Alternate Month Amendments Report No: